NXPOMS-1719007347-4154

Site Security Target – GlobalLogic Wroclaw

Revision	V1.6
Supersedes	07/16/2020

Table of Contents

Table of Figures

1. Document Introduction

1.1 Reference

Title: Site Security Target – GlobalLogic Wroclaw

Version: 1.6

Date: 10/13/2020

Company: GlobalLogic Wroclaw

Name of site: GlobalLogic Wroclaw

EAL: SARs taken from EAL6

2. SST Introduction

1 All chapters of this document are based upon the Eurosmart Site Security Target Template [1] with adaptions such that it fits the site (i.e. development site, testing of software, no production, no direct delivery to customers of the user of the site).

2 This Site Security Target is intended to be used by only one specific client, namely NXP Semiconductors. Therefore, the term 'client' in this document refers directly to NXP Semiconductors. Note that also the site of this Site Security Target as defined below belongs to NXP Semiconductors.

2.1 SST Reference

3 Title Site Security Target – GlobalLogic Wroclaw

4 Version 1.6

2.2 Site Reference

5 The site belongs to GlobalLogic and is located at:

6 GlobalLogic Wroclaw sp. z o.o.
Strzegomska 56B Street, 53-611 Wrocław, POLAND

2.3 Site Description

7 Building located at Wrocław, Strzegomska 56B Street has 3 floors. Offices in building are shared by a few companies. Part of ground floor is occupied by GlobalLogic employees and used for NXP activities which are inside the secure area of the ground floor.

8 For a more detail site description please view GlobalLogic Wroclaw Site Security Manual

9 The activities are: Security IC Embedded Software Development (Phase 1), IC Embedded Software and Testing (Phase 1), IC Dedicated Software and Testing (Phase 2) as defined in 'Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages' (PP-0084)

10 To perform these activities the site uses the NXP CCC&S provided and managed remote IT-infrastructure. Locally available IT equipment like workstations or VPN routers are also provided and managed by NXP CCC&S directly. The site works according to NXP CCC&S processes.

11 The NXP activities (and areas where they are performed) are:

Activity	Area
Development and testing* of software for secure integrated circuits.	NXP Secure Area
Engineering Test of Software development	NXP Secure Area

12 The typical Life Cycle model for NXP Smart Cards usually comprises the following phases:

- Development,
- Production,
- Delivery,
- Preparation,
- Operation,

13 Whereas the site under evaluation supports only the life cycle phase

- Development

14 Development comprises of the generation of source code modules and the test of this code for NXP only.

2.4 Certification Scope

15 The scope of this Certification is limited to NXP products development performed by GlobalLogic. GlobalLogic activities are performed securely in the NXP logical environment all other customers activities are therefore out of scope.

3. Conformance Claim

16 This SST is conformant with Common Criteria Version 3.1:

- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 1: Introduction and General Model; Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017, [2]

- Common Criteria for information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 3: Security Assurance Requirements; Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017, [3]

17 For the evaluation, the following methodology will be used:

- Common Methodology for Information Security Evaluation (CEM), Evaluation Methodology; Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017, [4]

18 This SST is CC Part 3 conformant.

19 The evaluation of the site comprises the following assurance components[1]:

- ALC_CMC.5,
- ALC_CMS.5,
- ALC_DVS.2,
- ALC_LCD.1,

20 The assurance level chosen for the SST is compliant to the Security IC Platform Protection Profile [5] and is therefore suitable for the evaluation of software and Hardware design for Security ICs.

21 The chosen assurance components are derived from the assurance level EAL6 of the assurance class "Life-cycle Support". For the assessment of the security measures attackers with a high attack potential are assumed. Therefore, this site supports potentially augmented product evaluations up to EAL6.

[1] The site does not contribute to ALC_TAT and does not have any negative impact to it. The used tools and techniques are defined upfront by the client (see A.Setup-Projects). Therefore, the site does not claim conformance to ALC_TAT.

4. Security Problem Definition

22 The Security Problem Definition comprises security problems derived from threats against the assets handled by the site.

23 Where necessary the items in this section have been re-worked to fit the site

4.1 Assets

24 The following section describes the assets handled at the site.

NXP Development data: The site has access to (and optionally copies thereof) electronic development data (specifications, guidance documentation, source code, etc.) in relation to developed TOEs. Both the integrity and the confidentiality of these electronic documents must be protected.

NXP Development tools: To perform its development activities the site uses tools (e.g. compiler) to transform source code (and potentially the libraries that come with these tools) into binaries. The integrity of these tools (running on local or remote development computers) must be protected.

NXP Physical security objects: The site has physical security objects (printed documents, engineering samples, Secure Seal Tape etc.) in relation to developed TOEs. Both the integrity and the confidentiality of these must be protected.

4.2 Threats

T.Smart-Theft: An attacker tries to access sensitive areas of the site for manipulation or theft of assets (1) In this case development data with the intention to violate confidentiality and possibly integrity (2) Physical security objects in the form of printed documentation or engineering samples (3) Development Tools in the form of IT infrastructure hardware. The attacker has sufficient time to investigate the site outside the controlled boundary. For the attack the use of standard equipment for burglary is considered.

T.Rugged-Theft: An attacker with specialized equipment for burglary, who may be paid to perform the attack, tries to access sensitive areas and manipulate or steal assets (1) In this case development data with the intention to violate confidentiality and possibly integrity (2) Physical security objects in the form of printed documentation or engineering samples (3) Development Tools in the form of IT infrastructure hardware.

T.Computer-Net: A possibly paid hacker with substantial expertise using standard equipment attempts to remotely access sensitive network

segments to get access to (1) development data with the intention to violate confidentiality and possibly integrity or (2) development computers with the intention to modify the development process.

T.Unauthorised-Staff: Employees or subcontractors not authorized to get access to assets by violating (1) In this case development data with the intention to violate confidentiality and possibly integrity (2) Physical security objects in the form of printed documentation or engineering samples (3) Development Tools in the form of IT infrastructure hardware.

T.Staff-Collusion: An attacker tries to get access to assets by getting support from one employee through extortion or bribery. (1) In this case development data with the intention to violate confidentiality and possibly integrity (2) Physical security objects in the form of printed documentation or engineering samples (3) Development Tools in the form of IT infrastructure hardware.

T.Attack-Transport: An attacker tries to get access to shipped physical security objects when shipped in or out of the site with the intention to compromise confidentiality and/or integrity of the product design data, customer and/or consumer data like code and data (including personalisation data and/or keys) stored in the ROM and/or EEPROM or classified product documentation.

4.3 Organizational Security Policies

P.Config_IT-env: The site uses software on development workstations and servers in addition to configuration management systems for file versioning and problem tracking. For file versioning unique repositories shall be used to support proper management of multiple products and the site internal procedures. The team members are instructed to use only project related IT equipment provided by NXP with the provided tools.

P.LifeCycle-Doc: The site uses life cycle documentation that describes:

(1) Description of configuration management systems and their usage;
(2) A configuration items list;
(3) Site security;
(4) The development process;
(5) The development tools.

P.Config_Activities: The activities of the site shall be performed in accordance with the life cycle documentation (P.Config_IT-env) using the IT-environment (P.LifeCycle-Doc).

4.4 Assumptions

A.Inherit-secure-IT: The local IT equipment (e.g. workstations) is connected to a secure remote IT-Infrastructure through a secure (encrypted) network connection. The local workstations, the remote secure IT-infrastructure and the secure connection to it will satisfy all relevant ALC requirements and are provided and managed by NXP. The workstations are configured such that any assets are contained within encrypted containers.

A.Setup-Projects: To enable that the site participates in the development of products NXP provides services to setup the necessary development computers (tools, user accounts, etc.) and configuration management systems (user accounts, repositories etc.).

A.Product-Setup: The site participates in the development of products. To define the participation of the site in the development while maintaining quality, for each product NXP will manage the activities to be performed by the site, the specifications of the input for the site and the acceptance of the results by NXP.

5. Security Objectives

25 The Security Objectives are related to physical, technical, and organizational security measures, the configuration management as well as the internal shipment and/or the external delivery.

O.Config_IT-env: The site uses software on development workstations and servers in addition to configuration management systems for file versioning and problem tracking. For file versioning unique repositories are used to support proper management of multiple products and the site internal procedures.

O.LifeCycle-Doc: The site uses life cycle documentation that describes:

(1) Description of configuration management systems and their usage;
(2) A configuration items list;
(3) Site security;
(4) The development process;
(5) The development tools.
(6) CM_Plan

O.Config_Activities: The activities of the site are performed in accordance with the life cycle documentation (O.Config_IT-env) using the IT-environment (O.LifeCycle-Doc).

O.Physical-Access: The combination of physical partitioning between the different access control levels together with technical and organisational security measures allows a sufficient separation of employees to enforce the “need to know” principle. The access control shall support the limitation for the access to these areas including the identification and rejection of unauthorised people. The access control measures ensure that only registered employees can access restricted areas. Assets are handled in restricted areas only.

O.Security-Control: Assigned personnel of the site operate the systems for access control. Out of hour surveillance and respond to alarms is contracted to a 3rd party security company. Technical security measures like motion sensors and similar kind of sensors support the enforcement of the access control. NXP personnel are also responsible for registering and ensuring escort of visitors, contractors and suppliers.

O.Alarm-Response: The technical and organisational security measures ensure that an alarm is generated before an unauthorised person gets access to any asset. After the alarm is triggered the unauthorised person still has to overcome further security measures. The

reaction time of the employees and/or guards is short enough to prevent a successful attack.

O.Internal-Monitor: The site performs security management meetings at least every six months. The security management meetings are used to review security incidences, to verify that maintenance measures are applied and to reconsider the assessment of risks and security measures. Furthermore, an internal audit is performed every year to control the application of the security measures.

O.Maintain-Security: Technical security measures are maintained regularly to ensure correct operation. The logging of sensitive systems is checked regularly. This comprises the access control system to ensure that only authorised employees have access to sensitive areas as well as computer/network systems to ensure that they are configured as required to ensure the protection of the networks and computer systems.

O.Network-separation: The (plain-text) development network of the site exists within the secured areas of the site only. It is connected only to:

(1) The encryption equipment employs encrypted VPNs to the secure network provided by the NXP;

(2) The development workstations provided by the NXP;

(3) Additional equipment (e.g. a printer) approved by the NXP.

O.Logical-Operation: All network segments and the computer systems are kept up-to-date (software updates, security patches, virus protection, spyware protection). The backup of sensitive data and security relevant logs is applied according to the classification of the stored data.

O.Control-Scrap: The site has measures in place to either securely destroy assets (e.g. paper shredder) or return them to the NXP for destruction.

O.Staff-Engagement: All employees who have access to assets are checked regarding security concerns and have to sign a non-disclosure agreement. Furthermore, all employees are trained and qualified for their job. All contractors and visitors must be escorted by a trained employee at all times.

5.1 Security Objectives Rationale

26 The SST includes a Security Objective Rationale with two parts. The first part includes the tracing which shows how the threats and OSPs are covered by the Security Objectives. The second part includes a justification that shows that all threats and OSPs are effectively addressed by the Security Objectives (see column “Rationale” of Table 1 and Table 2)

27 Note that the assumptions of the SST cannot be used to cover any threat or OSP of the site. They are pre-conditions fulfilled either by the site providing the sensitive configuration items or by the site receiving the sensitive configuration items. Therefore, they do not contribute to the security of the site under evaluation.

Threat and OSP	Security Objective(s)	Rationale

T.Smart-Theft	O.Lifecycle-Doc O.Physical-Access O.Control-Scrap O.Security-Control O.Alarm-Response O.Config_Activities O.Internal-Monitor O.Maintain-Security	O.Lifecycle-Doc ensure that procedures are documented which assist in preventing Theft. O.Physical-Access ensures that the Secure Room is physically partitioned off, so that a burglar cannot just walk in. O.Control-Scrap ensures that scrap material cannot be accessed by an authorized party O.Security-Control ensures that an attacker will be detected when trying to reach the assets through the Secure Room O.Alarm-Response supports O.Physical_Access and O.Security_Control by ensuring that a response will be given to the alarm systems and that this response is quick enough to prevent access to the assets. O.Config_Activities activities of the site are performed in accordance with the life cycle documentation. O.Internal-Monitor and O.Maintain-Security ensure that the above is managed and maintained. Together, these objectives will therefore counter T.Smart_Theft.

T.Rugged-Theft	O.Lifecycle-Doc O.Physical-Access O.Control-Scrap O.Security-Control O.Alarm-Response O.Config_Activities O.Internal-Monitor O.Maintain-Security	O.Lifecycle-Doc ensure that procedures are documented which assist in preventing Theft. O.Physical-Access ensures that the Secure Room is physically partitioned off, so that a burglar cannot just walk in. O.Control-Scrap ensures that scrap material cannot be accessed by an authorized party O.Security-Control ensures that an attacker will be detected when trying to reach the assets through the Secure Room O.Alarm-Response supports O.Physical_Access and O.Security_Control by ensuring that a response will be given to the alarm systems and that this response is quick enough to prevent access to the assets. O.Config_Activities activities of the site are performed in accordance with the life cycle documentation. O.Internal-Monitor and O.Maintain-Security ensure that the above is managed and maintained. Together, these objectives will therefore counter T.Rugged_Theft

T.Computer-Net	O.Config_IT-env O.Lifecycle-Doc O.Network-separation O.Physical-Access O.Logical-Operation O.Control-Scrap O.Staff-Engagement O.Config_Activities O.Internal-Monitor O.Maintain-Security	O.Config_IT-env assigns unique numbers to the internal procedures and guidance. This helps enforce segregation of duties and the need to know principals. O.Network-separation ensures that the development network is not connected to anything that an attacker could use to set up a remote connection O.Physical-Access ensures that all communication between the Secure Room and the Business Unit is done through encryption equipment (provided by the Business Unit). The attacker can therefore neither: • Listen in on or manipulate the network connection between the Secure Room and the Business Unit • Penetrate the Secure Room management stations through this connection The attacker also cannot use other networks that lead into the Secure Room as O.Physical-Access also ensures that all such connections are not connected to the encryption equipment. In addition, O.Logical-Operation ensures that all computer systems used to manage the Business Unit network are kept up to date (software updates, security patches, virus and spyware protection) O.Lifecycle-Doc ensure that procedures are documented which assist in preventing Unauthorised Staff access. O.Control-Scrap ensures that scrap material cannot be accessed by an authorized party

		O.Config_Activities activities of the site are performed in accordance with the life cycle documentation. O.Staff-Engagement ensures that all staff is aware of its reponsibilities (signing NDAs, and being trained). O.Internal-Monitor and O.Maintain-Security ensure that the above is managed and maintained. Together, these objectives will therefore counter T.Computer-Net and T.Attack-Transport.

T.Unauthorised-Staff	O.Physical-Access O.Security-Control O.Alarm-Response O.Config_IT-env O.Logical-Operation O.Control-Scrap O.Config_Activities O.Network-separation O.Lifecycle-Doc 0.Staff-Engagement O.Internal-Monitor O.Maintain-Security	O.Security_Control ensures that all unauthorised people who have a legitimate need to visit the Secure Room are always accompanied. O.Physical-Access, O.Security-Control and O.Alarm-Response ensures that the unauthrised people cannot circumvent this (see the rationale for T.Smart-Theft for more details on this) O.Config_IT-env assigns unique numbers to the internal procedures and guidance. This helps enforce segregation of duties and the need to know principals. O.Control-Scrap ensures that scrap material cannot be accessed by an authorized party O.Config_Activities activities of the site are performed in accordance with the life cycle documentation. O.Network-separation ensures that that access can only be gained to networks on a need to know basis In addition, O.Logical-Operation ensures that all computer systems used to manage the Business Unit network are kept up to date (software updates, security patches, virus and spyware protection) O.Lifecycle-Doc ensure that procedures are documented which assist in preventing Unauthorised Staff access. O.Staff-Engagement ensures that all staff is aware of its reponsibilities (signing NDAs, and being trained). O.Internal-Monitor and O.Maintain-Security ensure that the above is managed and maintained. Together, these objectives will therefore counter T.Unauthorised-Staff.

T.Staff-Collusion	O.Staff-Engagement O.Config_IT-env O.Control-Scrap O.Config_Activities O.Lifecycle-Doc O.Physical-Access O.Internal-Monitor O.Maintain-Security	O.Staff-Engagement ensures that all staff is aware of its reponsibilities (signing NDAs, and being trained). O.Config_IT-env assigns unique numbers to the internal procedures and guidance. This helps enforce segregation of duties and the need to know principals. O.Control-Scrap ensures that scrap material cannot be accessed by an authorized party O.Config_Activities activities of the site are performed in accordance with the life cycle documentation. O.Lifecycle-Doc ensure that procedures are documented which assist in preventing Unauthorised Staff access which is prevented by O.Physical-Access. O.Internal-Monitor and O.Maintain-Security ensure that the above is managed and maintained. Together, these objectives will therefore counter T.Staff-Collusion.
T.Attack-Transport	O.Config_Activities O.Lifecycle-Doc O.Internal-Monitor O.Maintain-Security	O.Lifecycle-Doc ensure that procedures are documented which assist in preventing Unauthorised Staff access. O.Config_Activities activities of the site are performed in accordance with the life cycle documentation. Together, these objectives will therefore counter T. Attack-Transport
P.Config_IT-env	O.Config_IT-env O.Internal-Monitor O.Maintain-Security	The Security Objective directly enforces the OSP. O.Config_IT-env assigns unique numbers to the internal procedures and guidance. As the site processes no other configuration items, this is sufficient to meet P.Config_IT-env. O.Internal-Monitor and O.Maintain-Security ensure that the above is managed and maintained.

P.Config_Activities	O.Config_Activities O.Network-separation O.Physical-Access O.Internal-Monitor O.Maintain-Security	The Security Objective directly enforces the OSP. O.Config_Activities activities of the site are performed in accordance with the life cycle documentation. O.Network-separation ensures that that access can only be gained to networks on a need to know basis which is supported by O.Physical-Access. O.Internal-Monitor and O.Maintain-Security ensure that the above is managed and maintained. The services and processes provided by the site are described in the internal procedures and guidance. As these are kept under CM (see the rationale above), this is sufficient to meet P.Config_Activities.
P.LifeCycle-doc	O.LifeCycle-doc O.Internal-Monitor O.Maintain-Security	The Security Objective directly enforces the OSP. O.Internal-Monitor and O.Maintain-Security ensure that the above is managed and maintained. This ensures life cycle documentation that describes configuration management systems, Site security, development process and tools providing a CM_Plan is sufficient to meet P.LifeCycle-doc.

Table 1 Threats and OSP - Security Objectives Rationale

6. Extended Assurance Components Definition

28 No extended components are defined in this Site Security Target.

7. Security Assurance Requirements

29 Global Logic Wroclaw using this Site Security Target requires a TOE evaluation up to evaluation assurance level EAL6, potentially claiming conformance with the Eurosmart Protection Profile [5].

30 The Security Assurance Requirements are chosen from the class ALC (Life-cycle support) as defined in [3]:

- CM capabilities (ALC_CMC.5)
- CM scope (ALC_CMS.5)
- Development Security (ALC_DVS.2)
- Life-cycle definition (ALC_LCD.1)

31 The Security Assurance Requirements listed above fulfill the requirements of [6] because hierarchically higher components than the defined minimum site requirements (ALC_CMC.3, ALC_CMS.3, ALC_DVS.1, see section 3.2.3 of [6]) are used in this Site Security Target.

7.1 Application Notes and Refinements

32 The description of the site certification process [6] includes specific application notes. The main item is that a product that is considered as intended TOE is not available during the evaluation. Since the term “TOE” is not applicable in the Site Security Target, the associated processes for the handling of products, or “intended TOEs” are in the scope of this Site Security Target and are described in this document. These processes are subject of the evaluation of the site.

7.1.1 CM Capabilities (ALC_CMC.5)

33 Refer to subsection ‘Application Notes for Site Certification’ in [6] 5.1 ‘Application Notes for ALC_CMC’.

7.1.2 CM Scope (ALC_CMS.5)

34 Refer to subsection ‘Application Notes for Site Certification’ in [6] 5.2 ‘Application Notes for ALC_CMS’.

7.1.3 Development Security (ALC_DVS.2)

35 Refer to subsection ‘Application Notes for Site Certification’ in [6] 5.4 ‘Application Notes for ALC_DVS’.

7.1.4 Life-cycle Definition (ALC_LCD.1)

36 Refer to subsection ‘Application Notes for Site Certification’ in [6] 5.6 ‘Application Notes for ALC_LCD’.

37 Refer to ‘*Application Note 26’* in 6.2.1.2 ‘Refinements regarding Development Security (ALC_DVS)’ in the Eurosmart PP [5].

38 Refer to subsection *'Refinement'* in 6.2.1.2 'Refinements regarding Development Security (ALC_DVS)' in the Eurosmart PP [5].

39 Refer to subsection "C Excerpts from the Criteria in Security assurance components (chapter 7)" in [5] Security IC Platform Protection Profile (BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014), Version 1.0, Eurosmart, 2014.

7.2 Security Requirements Rationale

7.2.1 Security Requirements Rationale - Dependencies

40 The dependencies for the assurance requirements are as follows:

- ALC_CMC.5: ALC_CMS.1, ALC_DVS.2, ALC_LCD.1
- ALC_CMS.5: None
- ALC_DVS.2: None
- ALC_LCD.1: None

41 Some of the dependencies are not (completely) fulfilled:

- ALC_LCD.1 is only partially fulfilled as the site does not represent the entire development environment. This is in-line with and further explained in [6] 5.1 'Application Notes for ALC_CMC'.

7.2.2 Security Requirements Rationale – Mapping

SAR	Security Objective	Rationale	Reference
ALC_CMC.5.1C: The CM documentation shall show that a process is in place to ensure an appropriate and consistent labeling.	O.Config_IT-env O.LifeCycle-Doc O.Config_Activities	Appropriate and consistent labelling is ensured through the application (O.Config_Activities) of the CM-Plan (O.LifeCycle-Doc) and the use of the configuration management systems (O.Config_IT-env).	• NXPOMS-999116894-3989 - NPI3.0 Handbook, slide on Configuration management • Configuration Management References and Templates
ALC_CMC.5.2C: The CM documentation shall describe the method used to uniquely identify the configuration items.	O.LifeCycle-Doc	The method used to uniquely identify the configuration items is described in the CM-Plan (O.LifeCycle-Doc).	• NXPOMS-999116894-3989 - NPI3.0 Handbook, slide on Configuration management • Configuration Management References and Templates
ALC_CMC.5.3C: The CM documentation shall justify that the acceptance procedures provide for an	O.LifeCycle-Doc	The adequate and appropriate acceptance procedures for configuration items are	• NXPOMS-999116894-3989 - NPI3.0 Handbook, slide on Configuration management,

SAR	Security Objective	Rationale	Reference
adequate and appropriate review of changes to all configuration items.		described in the CM-Plan (O.LifeCycle-Doc).	Change Control Board - CCB & Change Control Process Outline • NXPOMS-999116894-3989 - NPI3.0 Handbook, slide on NPI3.0 Key Review overview – NPI Lifecycle • Configuration Management References and Templates • NXPOMS-1719007347-2486 - Gate Checklist
ALC_CMC.5.4C: The CM system shall uniquely identify all configuration items.	O.Config_IT-env O.LifeCycle-Doc O.Config_Activities	Unique identification of all CIs is realized by performing the CM activities (O.Config_Activities) in accordance with the CM-Plan (O.LifeCycle-Doc) using the Configuration management systems (O.Config_IT-env)	• NXPOMS-999116894-3989 - NPI3.0 Handbook, slide on Configuration management • Configuration Management References and Templates
ALC_CMC.5.5C: The CM system shall provide automated measures such that only authorized changes are made to the configuration items.	O.Config_IT-env O.LifeCycle-Doc O.Config_Activities	The configuration management systems (O.Config_IT-Env) used (O.Config_Activities) according to the CM-Plan (O.LifeCycle-Doc) enforces automated measures such that only authorized changes are made to the configuration items	• NXPOMS-999116894-3989 - NPI3.0 Handbook, slide on Configuration management • Configuration Management References and Templates • CollabNet TeamForge – User Guide
ALC_CMC.5.6C: The CM system shall support the production of the product by automated means.	O.Config_IT-env O.LifeCycle-Doc O.Config_Activities	The software on the development computers (O.Config_IT-env) supports automated production of products when used (O.Config_Activities) in accordance with the CM-Plan (O.LifeCycle-Doc)	• NXPOMS-999116894-3989 - NPI3.0 Handbook, slide on Configuration management • Configuration Management References and Templates • NXPOMS-1719007347-2657 CM – Design Environment Maintenance • CollabNet TeamForge – User Guide
ALC_CMC.5.7C: The CM system shall ensure that the person responsible for accepting a configuration	O.LifeCycle-Doc O.Config_Activities	As described in the CM-Plan (O.LifeCycle-Doc) the activities performed (O.Config_Activities) are such that the person	• NXPOMS-999116894-4839 - Project Setup in CollabNet instructions • Configuration Management Procedure

SAR	Security Objective	Rationale	Reference
item into CM is not the person who developed it.		responsible for accepting a configuration item into CM is not the person who developed it.	• NXPOMS-1719007347-1870 - NPI 3.0 Roles and Responsibilities
ALC_CMC.5.8C: The CM system shall clearly identify the configuration items that comprise the TSF.	O.Config_IT-env O.LifeCycle-Doc	The CM-Plan (O.LifeCycle-Doc) identifies the configuration items that comprise the TSF possibly supported by the configuration management system (O.Config_IT-env)	• Product/project specific CM plans and the CI list that is used for CC evaluation.
ALC_CMC.5.9C: The CM system shall support the audit of all changes to the TOE by automated means, including the originator, date, and time in the audit trail.	O.Config_IT-env O.LifeCycle-Doc	As described in the CM_Plan (O.LifeCycle-Doc) the configuration management systems (O.Config_IT-env) are configured such that an audit trail (showing originator, date and time) is automatically generated.	• Enovia Synchronicity • DesignSync – System Administration Help • Technical Design - CollabNet service for CCC&S
ALC_CMC.5.10C: The CM system shall provide an automated means to identify all other configuration items that are affected by the change of a given configuration item.	O.Config_IT-env O.LifeCycle-Doc	As described in the CM_Plan (O.LifeCycle-Doc) the configurations management system and software installed on the development workstations and servers (O.Config_IT-env) provide automated means to identify all other configuration items that are affected by the change of a given configuration item.	• Tool documentation • Configuration Management Procedure • Requirements Engineering Procedure
ALC_CMC.5.11C: The CM system shall be able to identify the version of the implementation representation from which the TOE is generated.	O.Config_IT-env O.LifeCycle-Doc	As described in the CM_Plan (O.LifeCycle-Doc) the configurations management system (O.Config_IT-env) identifies the version of the implementation representation from which the TOE is generated through baselines.	• Tool documentation • NXPOMS-999116894-3989 - NPI3.0 Handbook, slides referring to Baselines • Configuration Management Procedure • Requirements Engineering Procedure
ALC_CMC.5.12C: The CM documentation shall include a CM plan.	O.LifeCycle-Doc	The life cycle documentation (O.LifeCycle-Doc) includes a CM-Plan.	• Configuration Management Procedure • Product specific configuration management plan (CMP) available.

SAR	Security Objective	Rationale	Reference
ALC_CMC.5.13C: The CM plan shall describe how the CM system is used for the development of the TOE.	O.LifeCycle-Doc	The life cycle documentation (O.LifeCycle-Doc) describes how the CM system is used for the development of the product.	• Configuration Management Procedure • Product specific configuration management plan (CMP) available.
ALC_CMC.5.14C: The CM plan shall describe the procedures used to accept modified or newly created configuration items as part of the TOE	O.LifeCycle-Doc	The acceptance procedures for modified or newly created configuration items are described in the CM-Plan (O.LifeCycle-Doc).	• NXPOMS-999116894-3989 - NPI3.0 Handbook, slides referring to change control board, CCB process • Configuration Management Procedure • Product specific configuration management plan (CMP) available.
ALC_CMC.5.15C: The evidence shall demonstrate that all configuration items are being maintained under the CM system.	O.LifeCycle-Doc	All configuration items are listed in the CI-list (O.LifeCycle-Doc)	• The development environment used is set up centrally and organized as per a project specific CM plan • NXPOMS-999116894-3989 - NPI3.0 Handbook, slides referring to the configuration management • Product specific configuration management plan (CMP) available.
ALC_CMC.5.16C: The evidence shall demonstrate that all configuration items have been and are being maintained under the CM system.	O.Config_IT-env O.LifeCycle-Doc	The CI-list (O.LifeCycle-Doc) is generated from the configuration management systems (O.Config_IT-env)	• The development environment used is set up centrally and organized as per a project specific CM plan • Configuration Management Procedure

Table 2 Rationale for ALC_CMC.5

SAR	Security Objective	Rationale	
ALC_CMS.5.1C: The configuration list includes the following: the TOE itself; the evaluation evidence required by the	O.LifeCycle-Doc	The life cycle documentation (O.LifeCycle-Doc) includes a CM-Plan and a CI-List	• SST • Document list/Bibliography

SAR	Security Objective	Rationale	
SARs in the ST; the parts that comprise the TOE; the implementation representation; security flaws; and development tools and related information. The CM documentation shall include a CM plan.		with the items required by ALC_CMS.5.1C	
ALC_CMS.5.2C: The configuration list shall uniquely identify the configuration items.	O.LifeCycle-Doc	The CI-List (O.LifeCycle-Doc) uniquely identifies the configurations items as described in the CM-Plan (O.LifeCycle-Doc).	• GlobalLogic Wroclaw Configuration List
ALC_CMS.5.3C: For each configuration item, the configuration list shall indicate the developer/subcontractor of the item.	O.LifeCycle-Doc	The CI-List (O.LifeCycle-Doc) indicates the developer/subcontractor for each configuration items as described in the CM-Plan (O.LifeCycle-Doc).	• Document list/Bibliography

Table 3 Rationale for ALC_CMS.5

SAR	Security Objective	Rationale	Reference
ALC_DVS.2.1C: The development security documentation shall describe all the physical, procedural, personnel, and other security measures that are necessary to protect the confidentiality and integrity of the TOE design and implementation in its development environment.	O.LifeCycle-Doc O.Physical-Access O.Security-Control O.Alarm-Response O.Internal-Monitor O.Maintain-Security O.Network-separation O.Logical-Operation O.Control-Scrap O.Staff-Engagement	The development security documentation (O.LifeCycle-Doc) describes the physical (O.Physical-Access, O.Security-Control, O.Alarm-Response), procedural (O.Internal-Monitor, O.Maintain-Security, O.Control-Scrap), personnel (O.Staff-Engagement), and other (O.Network-separation, O.Logical-Operation) security measures that are necessary to protect the confidentiality and integrity of the TOE design and implementation in its development environment.	• Site Security Manual – GlobalLogic Wroclaw

ALC_DVS.2.2C: The development security documentation shall justify that the security measures provide the necessary level of protection to maintain the confidentiality and integrity of the TOE.	O.LifeCycle-Doc	The development security documentation (O.LifeCycle-Doc) justifies the security measures provide the necessary level of protection to maintain the confidentiality and integrity of the TOE.	• Site Security Manual – GlobalLogic Wroclaw

Table 4 Rationale for ALC_DVS.2

SAR	Security Objective	Rationale	Reference
ALC_LCD.1.1C: The life-cycle definition documentation shall describe the model used to develop and maintain the TOE.	O.LifeCycle-Doc	The model used to develop the TOE is described in the life cycle documentation (O.LifeCycle-Doc)	• NXPOMS-999116894-3989 - NPI3.0 Handbook • NXPOMS-1719007347-2486 - Gate Checklist
ALC_LCD.1.2C: The life-cycle model shall provide for the necessary control over the development and maintenance of the TOE.	O.LifeCycle-Doc	The life cycle model as described in the life cycle documentation (O.LifeCycle-Doc) provides for the necessary control over the development and maintenance of the TOE.	• NXPOMS-999116894-3989 - NPI3.0 Handbook • NXPOMS-1719007347-2486 - Gate Checklist • NPI3.0 Intranet site

Table 5 Rationale for ALC_LCD.1

8. Site Summary Specification

8.1 Preconditions required by the Site

42 The site activities for NXP are performed using an NXP IT infrastructure consisting of development workstations, servers and configuration management systems. All of these are provided, configured and maintained by the NXP.

43 The NXP IT infrastructure consists of local and remote equipment connected using an encrypted connection. NXP Head Office Hamburg (Master IT Site) provides, configures and maintains the local workstations and router (used for the encrypted connection) and all remote equipment such that they are secure. The workstations are configured such that any assets are contained within encrypted containers.

44 In case of necessary updates to the life cycle documentation NXP will co-ordinate, communicate and deliver.

45 To enable that the site participates in the development of products NXP provides services to setup the necessary development computers (tools, user accounts, etc.) and configuration management systems (user accounts, repositories etc.).

46 In case the site is unable to securely destroy certain physical assets, the assets will be securely stored and shipped to NXP for destruction.

47 To define the participation of the site in the development while maintaining quality, for each product NXP will manage the activities to be performed, the specifications of the input for the site and the acceptance of the results.

48 The site follows the development processes of NXP. Applicable policies and processes are documented and available.

8.2 Services of the Site

49 The site participates in following activities:

a Development and testing of software for secure integrated circuits.

b Engineering Test of Software development

8.3 Security Assurance Rationale

8.3.1 CM capabilities (ALC_CMC.5)

50 Configuration Management is described in NXPALCCM[2], and SSM[3].

51 For full detail and evidences please view Section 7.2.2

8.3.2 CM scope (ALC_CMS.5)

52 Configuration Management is described in NXPALCCM[2], and SSM[3].

53 For full detail and evidences please view Section 7.2.2

8.3.3 Development Security (ALC_DVS.2)

54 Development Security is described in SSM[3].

55 For full detail and evidences please view Section 7.2.2

8.3.4 Life-cycle definition (ALC_LCD.1)

56 Life-cycle definition is described in NXPALCCM[2] and SSM[3].

57 For full detail and evidences please view Section 7.2.2

8.4 Objectives Rationale

58 The following rationale provides a justification that shows that all threats and OSP are effectively addressed by the Security Objectives.

8.4.1 O.Config_IT-env

59 The configuration of the IT environment is designed in such way to ensure segregation of duties and the need to know principals. These measures address T.Computer-Net, T.Staff-Collusion and T.Unauthorized-Staff. Also addresses the OSP P.Config-IT-env.

8.4.2 O.Physical-Access

The physical access is supported by O.Security-Control that includes the maintenance of the access control and the control of visitors. The physical security measures are supported by O.Alarm-Response providing an alarm system.

Thereby the threats T.Smart-Theft, T.Rugged-Theft can be prevented. The physical security measures together with the security measure provided by O.Security-Control enforce the recording of all actions. Thereby also

[2] NXPOMS-1719007347-2549 - ALC-CM – Common Criteria Documentation

[3] Site Security Manual – GlobalLogic Wroclaw

T.Computer-Net, T.Staff-Collusion and T.Unauthorized-Staff is addressed. Also addresses the OSP P.Config-Activities.

8.4.3 O.Security-Control

60 During off hours the guard patrol the internal of the building and the alarm system is used to monitor the site with a dedicated off site monitoring station. The CCTV system supports these measures because it is always enabled and monitored 24/7. The security control is further supported by O.Physical-Access requiring different level of access control for the access to security product during operation as well as during off-hours.

61 This addresses the threats T.Smart-Theft and T.Rugged-Theft. Supported by O.Maintain- Security and O.Physical-Access also an internal attacker triggers the security measures implemented by O.Security-Control. Therefore also the Threat T.Unauthorized-Staff is addressed.

8.4.4 O.Alarm-Response

62 During working hours the employees monitor the alarm system. The alarm system is connected to a control center that is manned 24 hours. During off-hours additional guard patrol supports the alarm system. O.Physical-Access requires certain time to overcome the different level of access control. The response time of the guard and the physical resistance match to provide an effective alarm response.

63 This addresses the threats T.Smart-Theft, T.Rugged-Theft and T.Unauthorised-Staff

8.4.5 O.Internal-Monitor

64 Regular security management meetings are implemented to monitor security incidences as well as changes or updates of security relevant systems and processes. This comprises of all security events, security relevant systems, CCTV and access control. Major changes of security systems and security procedures are reviewed in general management systems review meetings (2x per year). Upon introduction of a new process a formal review and release for mass production is made before being generally introduced.

65 The security relevant systems enforcing or supporting O.Physical-Access, O.Security-Control and O.Logical-Access are checked and maintained regularly by the suppliers. In addition the configuration is updated as required either by employees (for the access control system) of the supplier. Logging files are checked at least monthly for technical problems and specific maintenance requests.

66 This addresses T.Smart-Theft, T.Rugged-Theft, T.Computer-Net, T.Unauthorised-Staff, T.Attack-Transport and T.Staff-Collusion

8.4.6 O.Logical-Operation

67 All logical protection measures are maintained and updated as required, at least once a month. Critical items such as virus scanners are updated daily. The backup is sufficiently protected and is only accessible for the administration.

68 This addresses the threats T.Computer-Net and T.Unauthorised-Staff

8.4.7 O.Staff-Engagement

69 All employees are interviewed before hiring. They must sign an NDA and a code of conduct for the use of NXP equipment before they start working in the company. The formal training and qualification includes security relevant subjects and the principles of handling and storage of security products. The security objectives O.Physical-Access, O.Logical- Access and O.Config-Items support the engagement of the staff.

70 This addresses the threats T.Computer-Net, T.Staff-Collusion and T.Unauthorised-Staff

8.4.8 O.Control-Scrap

71 Scarp may exist in a number of forms on this site printed secure objects, test samples or redundant hardware/movable media. Hardware and samples scrap is returned to NXP head office for controlled secure destruction. Transport and actual destruction of security products is done under supervision of a qualified employee in collaboration with the destructor. Sensitive information and information storage media are collected internally in a safe location and destroyed in a supervised and documented process. All documentation destroyed on site is by means of a Level 5 security shredder.

72 Supported by O.Physical-Access and O.Staff-engagement this addresses the threats T.Unauthorised-Staff, T.Computer-Net, T.Smart-Theft, T.Rugged-Theft and T.Staff-Collusion

8.4.9 O.Config_Activities

73 All product configuration information is stored in the database on the NXP secure network. The information stored is covering process specifications, acceptance test instructions and specifications, and test programs. Products are identified by unique customer part IDs with are linked to the unique ID numbers of the associated configuration items.

74 This is addressing the threat T.Rugged-Theft, T.Computer-Net, T.Staff-Collusion, T.Unauthorised-Staff, T.Attack-Transport, T.Smart-Theft and the OSP P.Config-Activities

8.4.10 O.Network_Separation

75 The internal network is separated from the internet with a firewall. The internal network is further separated into subnetworks by internal firewalls. These firewalls allow only authorized information exchange between the internal subnetworks. Each user is logging into the system with his personalised user name and password. The objective is supported by O.Internal-Monitor based on the checks of the logging regarding security relevant events.

76 The individual accounts are addressing T.Computer-Net. All network configuration is stored in the database of the NXP secure network. Supported by O.Config-IT-env this addresses the threats T.Unauthorised-Staff and the OSP P.Config-Activity.

8.4.11 O.Maintain_Security

77 The security measures are maintained regularly to ensure correct operation. The logging of sensitive systems is checked regularly. This comprises the access control system to ensure that only authorised employees have access to sensitive areas as well as computer/network systems to ensure that they are configured as required to ensure the protection of the networks and computer systems

78 These security measures are necessary to prevent the threats T.Smart-Theft, T.Rugged-Theft, T.Computer-Net, T.Attack-Transport, T.Unautorised-Staff and T.Staff-Collusion

8.4.12 O.LifeCycle_doc

79 The security of the site is maintained according to the sites security documentation covering all physical and logical measures to ensure the security of the site.

80 These security measures are necessary to prevent the threats T.Smart-Theft, T.Rugged-Theft, T.Computer-Net, T.Unautorised-Staff, T.Attack-Transport and T.Staff-Collusion. Also addressing the OSP P.Lifecycle-Doc

9. References

9.1 Literature

[1] “Site Security Target Template, Version 1.0, published by Eurosmart,” Eurosmart, 21.06.2009.

[2] Common Criteria, “Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluations, Part 1: Introduction and General Model; Version 3.1, Revision 5,” April 2017.

[3] Common Criteria, “Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 3: Security Assurance Requirements; Version 3.1, Revision 5,” April 2017.

[4] Common Criteria, “Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation (CEM), Evaluation Methodology; Version 3.1, Revision 5,” April 2017.

[5] Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmented Packages (BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014), Version 1.0, Eurosmart, 2014

[6] Common Criteria, “Supporting Document, Site Certification, Version 1.0, Revision 1, CCDB-2007-11-001,” October 2007.

9.2 Definitions

81 The site providing the Site Security Target may operate as a subcontractor of the intended TOE manufacturer. The term “client” is used here to define this

business connection. It is used instead of customer since the terms “customer” and “consumer” are reserved in CC. In this document, the terms “customer” and “consumer” are only used in the sense of the CC. Note that in this special case the client is always NXP, to which the site also belongs to.

9.3 List of Abbreviations

CC	Common Criteria
CCC&S	Competence Center Crypto & Security
CI	Configuration Item
EAL	Evaluation Assurance Level
IC	Integrated Circuit
IT	Information Technology
OSP	Organizational Security Policy
PP	Protection Profile
SAR	Security Assurance Requirement
SST	Site Security Target
ST	Security Target
TOE	Target of Evaluation

9.4 Revision

Revision	Description	Author	Approval - Date
1.0	First Draft	Gordon Caffrey	30 Jan 2016
1.1	Update after Serma comments	Gordon Caffrey	10 Mar 2016
1.2	Change of company name	Gordon Cafrrey	04 Jul 2018
1.3	Update CC version, template, typos wrt objectives coverage and release	Gordon Caffrey	25 Jul 2018
1.4	Change NXP Security Manager from Gordon Caffrey to Michael Sandu Add Marek Pokora from GL Wroclaw as Owner for 4-Eyes Controls of the Document Update of Tables and Document New Templated used and classified as PUBLIC (one SST)	Michael Sandu	16 Jul 2020
1.5	Removed ALC_TAT, update Section 2.3 and Section 8.2, Update correct ID NXPOMS-1719007347-4154. Released	Michael Sandu	10 Aug 2020
1.6	Input after evaluation, updated O.Logical-Operation, update Table 1 Threats and OSP and Security Objectives, Update O.Control-Scrap, removed IC Design from scope,	Michael Sandu	13 Oct 2020

Approvers

Sequence	Role	Name
Acceptance	Security Manager	Marek Pokora
Approval	Security Manager	Michael Sandu